

---

Subject: Results not Matching

Posted by [raman.mishra10@gmail.com](mailto:raman.mishra10@gmail.com) on Sat, 28 Jul 2018 10:11:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have used the Stata program to create Revised unmet need variable as described in, Analytical Study 25: Revising Unmet Need for Family Planning by Bradley, Croft, Fishel, and Westoff, 2012, published by ICF International using NFHS-4 (2015-16) India. The percentage of unmet need given in dataset (variable 626a) and my results are not the same.

Thanking You.

---

### File Attachments

1) [unmet putin.do](#), downloaded 664 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Results not Matching

Posted by [Trevor-DHS](#) on Mon, 30 Jul 2018 16:47:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

There are a few problems in your code:

- 1) you replaced v222 with v221. v222 is time from last birth to interview, v221 is time from marriage to first birth. v222 is marked as na but this is incorrect and it actually has data. Change this back to v222.
- 2) you changed m6\_1==99 to m6\_1==98. This is incorrect and you should leave it as m6\_1==99. There are no cases of m6\_1==99 in the India data, but that is not a problem.
- 3) you changed wantedlast==9 to wantedlast==3. This is incorrect too - leave as wantedlast==9. There are no cases of wantedlast==9 in the India data, but that is not a problem.
- 4) you added in recode sexact . = 1 if v528==98. This is not part of the standard and should be removed.
- 5) you removed replace infec=1 if v215==993, but this is needed for NFHS-4
- 6) I added the labeling of variable unmet back into the code.

I am attaching a corrected version of your code.

At the end you will see a tab command crosstabbing unmet and v626a for currently married women and you will see that they match exactly.

---

### File Attachments

1) [unmet+putin\\_TC.do](#), downloaded 811 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Results not Matching

Posted by [raman.mishra10@gmail.com](mailto:raman.mishra10@gmail.com) on Tue, 31 Jul 2018 08:55:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you very much, Sir, I had tried several times to correct the codes even discussed with

some of my friends but couldn't find the mistake. Thanks once again for the correction.

---