
Subject: Final Estimates of Stunting

Posted by [Mayank_Ag](#) on Wed, 30 May 2018 10:01:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I have been trying to obtain the percentages for the prevalence of stunting (State-wise). However, my estimates are not matching with the ones given in the final report for certain states (around 10 such states). For some states they are coming a little higher while for the others they are lower. However, the deviation is not more than 0.5% in any case. I have tried using both the files i.e., KR and PR and have also applied the respective weights. I want to use these estimates to study inter district disparities based on certain parameters. Therefore, i am more inclined towards using the estimates of KR files.

Can someone please explain why is this happening?

I have attached the SPSS code that i am using for your reference.

TIA.

```
****First Dataset****/
```

```
DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet1.
```

```
COMPUTE WGT = SHV005/1000000.
```

```
WEIGHT BY WGT.
```

```
DO IF ((HC70 <= 600) & (Hc70 >= -600)).
```

```
COUNT fw=HC70(Lowest thru -201).
```

```
VARIABLE LABELS fw '1'.
```

```
END IF.
```

```
EXECUTE.
```

```
SORT CASES BY HV024.
```

```
SPLIT FILE LAYERED BY HV024.
```

```
FREQUENCIES fw.
```

```
****Second Dataset****/
```

```
DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet3.
```

```
COMPUTE WGT = V005/1000000.
```

```
WEIGHT BY WGT.
```

```
DO IF ((HW70 <= 600) & (HW70 >= -600)).
```

```
COUNT FW1=HW70(Lowest thru -201).
```

```
VARIABLE LABELS FW1 '1'.
```

```
END IF.
```

```
EXECUTE
```

```
SORT CASES BY V024.
```

SPLIT FILE LAYERED BY V024.

FREQUENCIES FW1.

Subject: Re: Final Estimates of Stunting
Posted by [Mlue](#) on Thu, 31 May 2018 11:05:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Mayank,

Please see the code below - maybe it may help you.
You did not specify which dataset you're working with, I presume it's India DHS 2015-16... The code will work for most DHS surveys (if not all).

FYI:

I am not getting the total number of children as in the report, but the rates are the same...

I'm getting 219 796, but the report has 219 760 --- with difference 36 (I don't know where this is coming from)

Stata code

```
// USE "IAPR73FL" ON Stata
```

```
** CHILD NUTRITIONAL STATUS
```

```
** Table 10.1 Nutritional status of children
```

```
** Compiled by Mluleki Tsawe
```

```
** PhD student: University of the Western Cape, South Africa
```

```
** 31 May 2018
```

```
***** INDIA DHS 2015-2016
```

```
clear all
```

```
set mem 1g
```

```
set matsize 800
```

```
set maxvar 10000
```

```
cd "..."
```

```
use "IAPR73FL", clear
```

```
*****
```

```
** WEIGHT VARIABLE
gen weight = hv005/1000000
```

```
*****
```

```
** SURVEY SET
gen psu = hv021
gen strata = hv022
```

```
svyset psu [pw = weight], strata(strata) vce(linearized)
*svydes
```

```
*****
```

```
// RECODES & RENAMES
```

```
rename hc27 sex
rename hv270 wealth
rename hv025 residence
rename hv024 region
*rename shdist district
```

```
** CHILD AGE IN MONTHS
```

```
recode hc1 (0/5 = 1 "<6") (6/8 = 2 "6-8") (9/11 = 3 "9-11") (12/17 = 4 "12-17") ///
(18/23 = 5 "18-23") (24/35 = 6 "24-35") (36/47 = 7 "36-47") ///
(48/59 = 8 "48-59"), gen(child_age)
label var child_age "Child age (months)"
label val child_age child_age
```

```
** CHILD AGE IN MONTHS 2
```

```
recode hc1 (0/4 = 1 "<5") (5/9 = 2 "5-9") (10/15 = 3 "10-15") (16/19 = 4 "16-19") ///
(20/25 = 5 "20-25") (26/35 = 6 "26-35") (36/49 = 7 "36-49") ///
(50/59 = 8 "50-59"), gen(child_age2)
label var child_age2 "Child age in months"
label val child_age2 child_age2
```

```
** STATE/UNION TERRITORY
```

```
recode region (6 12/14 25 28/29 34 = 1 "North") (7 19 33 = 2 "Central") ///
(5 15 26 35 = 3 "East") (3/4 21/24 30 32 = 4 "North-East") ///
(8/11 20 = 5 "West") (1/2 16/18 27 31 36 = 6 "South"), gen(state_territory)
label var state_territory "State or union territory of India"
label val state_territory state_territory
*tab state_territory [iw=weight], m
*tab region state_territory [iw=weight], m
```

```
** WEALTH STATUS
```

```
recode wealth (1/2=1 "Poor") (3=2 "Middle") (4/5=3 "Rich"), gen(wealth_rec)
label var wealth_rec "Household wealth _ recode"
```

label val wealth_rec wealth_rec

// CHILD MALNUTRITION INDICATORS (according to WHO)

** STUNTING = Height-for-age

cap drop stunting

gen stunting=0 if hv103==1

replace stunting=. if hc70>=9996

replace stunting=1 if hc70<-200 & hv103==1

label define stunting 0"Not stunting" 1"Stunting"

label var stunting "Stunting children"

label val stunting stunting

** WASTING = Weight-for-height

gen wasting=0 if hv103==1

replace wasting=. if hc72>=9996

replace wasting=1 if hc72<-200 & hv103==1

label define wasting 0"Not wasting" 1"Wasting"

label var wasting "Wasting children"

label val wasting wasting

** UNDERWEIGHT = Weight-for-age

gen underweight=0 if hv103==1

replace underweight=. if hc71>=9996

replace underweight=1 if hc71<-200 & hv103==1

label define underweight 0"Not underweight" 1"Underweight"

label var underweight "Underweight children"

label val underweight underweight

** DROP IF NOT WITHIN SAMPLE

qui regr stunting underweight wasting if stunting !=. & underweight !=. & wasting !=. [pw=weight]
drop if e(sample)!=1 /* drop observations with missings on any variable to be used in analysis */

** CHECK

svy: tab stunting, count format(%4.0f)

svy: tab wasting, count format(%4.0f)

svy: tab underweight, count format(%4.0f)

```
svy: tab stunting, percent format(%4.1f)
svy: tab wasting, percent format(%4.1f)
svy: tab underweight, percent format(%4.1f)
```

```
*****
```

```
**
```

```
=====
```

```
**
```

```
** Table 10.2 Nutritional status of children by state/union territory **
```

```
cap drop zone
```

```
egen zone = group(state_territory region), label
```

```
tab zone
```

```
*****
```

```
tab zone stunting [iw=weight], row nof miss
tab zone wasting [iw=weight], row nof miss
tab zone underweight [iw=weight], row nof miss
```

```
/*
```

```
bys state_territory: tab region stunting [iw=weight], row nof miss
```

```
bys state_territory: tab region wasting [iw=weight], row nof miss
```

```
bys state_territory: tab region underweight [iw=weight], row nof miss
```

```
*/
```

```
*****
```

```
/*
```

```
svy: tab zone stunting, percent format(%4.1f) row miss
```

```
svy: tab zone wasting, percent format(%4.1f) row miss
```

```
svy: tab zone underweight, percent format(%4.1f) row miss
```

```
*/
```

```
*****
```

```
**
```

```
=====
```

```
**
```

```
*****
```

```
**
```

```
=====
```

```
**
```

```
*****
```

```
**
```

```
=====
```

```
**
```

```
exit
```

```
graph bar (mean) stunting [pweight = weight], over(residence) over(state_territory,
label(angle(forty_five))) ///
asyvars blabel(bar, size(small) orientation(horizontal) format(%4.3f) gap(0.5)) ytitle(Average of
child stunting) ///
ylabel(#10, labgap(medsmall)) title(Prevalence of stunting among children by residence) ///
subtitle(India Demographic and Health Survey) note(India (2015-16)) scheme(s2mono)
```

```
graph bar (mean) wasting [pweight = weight], over(residence) over(state_territory,
label(angle(forty_five))) ///
asyvars blabel(bar, size(small) orientation(horizontal) format(%4.3f) gap(0.5)) ytitle(Average of
child wasting) ///
ylabel(#10, labgap(medsmall)) title(Prevalence of wasting among children by residence) ///
subtitle(India Demographic and Health Survey) note(India (2015-16)) scheme(s2mono)
```

```
graph bar (mean) underweight [pweight = weight], over(residence) over(state_territory,
label(angle(forty_five))) ///
asyvars blabel(bar, size(small) orientation(horizontal) format(%4.3f) gap(0.5)) ytitle(Average of
child underweight) ///
ylabel(#10, labgap(medium)) title(Prevalence of being underweight among children by residence)
///
subtitle(India Demographic and Health Survey) note(India (2015-16)) scheme(s2mono)
```

```
*****
**
=====
**
*****
**
=====
**
*****
**
=====
**
```

Subject: Re: Final Estimates of Stunting
Posted by [Mayank_Ag](#) on Sat, 02 Jun 2018 15:06:15 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks a lot for the reply.

My estimates are also matching.

Were you able to reproduce the statistics for Birth order and Nutritional Status of Women. I have

tried everything but somehow my estimates are not matching. However, everything else is matching.

Can you please help me with this?
