Subject: Linking HIV Data
Posted by mmapingure on Thu, 22 Mar 2018 18:17:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello Colleagues,

How do I link the HIV results data to the women and male data sets for example?

With thanks

Subject: Re: Linking HIV Data
Posted by Liz-DHS on Tue, 03 Apr 2018 20:44:37 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear User,
Please take a look at the section on merging data sets on our website.
http://dhsprogram.com/data/Merging-datasets.cfm#CP_JUMP_8048
After reviewing this section, if you still have questions, please feel free to post again. Thank you!

Subject: Re: Linking HIV Data
Posted by mmapingure on Wed, 04 Apr 2018 09:27:14 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have done so, but for women's data I can not merge up to 900 cases in the female data set.
Could it be people who refused an HIV test?

Subject: Re: Linking HIV Data
Posted by Liz-DHS on Wed, 04 Apr 2018 18:07:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear User,
Can you please provide more information. Which survey and year are you analyzing? Which specific datasets are you looking at?
Thank you!

Subject: Re: Linking HIV Data
Posted by mmapingure on Thu, 05 Apr 2018 07:56:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thank you once again. I am looking at the Zimbabwe DHS 2015 and 2016

I am working with female and male data sets. The HIV data set comes separately

---

At this juncture I wanted to add HIV results onto the male and female data sets and not all cases are merging for example for the female data set I cant merge up to 900 cases

---

Subject: Re: Linking HIV Data
Posted by Trevor-DHS on Fri, 06 Apr 2018 03:26:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It is possible that there are something around 900 women without HIV test results. Please see table 14.1.1 which provides coverage of HIV testing, and variables ha61 and ha63 in the PR file which will identify women not tested for HIV.

Subject: Re: Linking HIV Data
Posted by mmapingure on Fri, 06 Apr 2018 08:02:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Oh yes thanks. Indeed it has something to do with them reusing to give blood for HIV testing or being absent at the time of testing

From Table 14.1.1, taking 87.5% of 10351, gives something like 9055 which is 900 short of the available sample size or females which is 9955

Thanks once again.