Subject: incorrect stunting rates Posted by margovg on Wed, 07 Mar 2018 13:45:31 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

Im trying to calculate the prevalence of stunting using the Burundi 2010 DHS dataset. I have used the PR file and - presumably- the correct survey settings, the overall percentage that I have calculated is correct (57,7% stunted), however, when I try to calculate the sex specific prevalence I'm of by a bit.

```
I am using R:
# making a variable for stunting
data2$stunt <- ifelse(data2$hc70 < -200, 'stunted', 'not stunted')
#survey settings
int.design <- function (){
 data.w <<-
  svvdesign(
   id = \sim hv001.
   data = data
   weight = \sim hv005,
   strata = \sim hv022
}
int.design()
> svyby(~stunt, ~hv104, data.w, svymean)
     hv104 stuntnot stunted stuntstunted se.stuntnot stunted se.stuntstunted
       male
                 0.3750139 0.6249861
                                               0.01372043
                                                               0.01372043
male
female female
                  0.4729965 0.5270035
                                                0.01436399
                                                                0.01436399
```

According to the DHS report 62,1% of boys is stunted and 53,1% of the girls. As you can see from the output above, my percentages come down to 52,7% for girls and 62,5% for boys.

I have deleted from my dataset:

- Children with missing data for variable HC70
- Children with HC70>9000
- Children who did not sleep in the hh the night before the survey(hv103==1)

Can anybody tell me what I doing wrong, and how I can get the correct percentages?

Kind regards,

Posted by Trevor-DHS on Wed, 07 Mar 2018 20:09:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message I'm matching the numbers from the report with the following code: library(foreign) library(survey) # switch to directory where my data exists setwd("C:/Data/DHS stata/") # read the PR dataset data <- read.dta("BUPR61FL.dta", convert.factors = TRUE) # subset for children measured with valid measures who are de facto data2 <- data[!is.na(data\$hc70) & data\$hc70<9000 & data\$hv103==1,] # making a variable for stunting data2\$stunt <- ifelse(data2\$hc70 < -200, 'stunted', 'not stunted') # create weight variable data2\$wt <- data2\$hv005/1000000 # survey settings data.w <- svydesign(id = \sim hv001, data = data2, weight = \sim wt, strata = \sim hv022) # tabulate stunting by sex svyby(~stunt, ~hv104, data.w, svymean) hv104 stuntnot stunted stuntstunted se.stuntnot stunted se.stuntstunted male 0.3790181 0.6209819 0.01352742 0.01352742 male female female 0.4687265 0.5312735 0.01374611 0.01374611

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates

Posted by Nicholus Tint Zaw on Sun, 11 Mar 2018 15:38:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

H, I am Nicholus from Myanmar and I am also experiencing same issued as margovg did before.

I am now working on child nutrition status data analysis and used KR at first and use following stat

code to identify the mean HAZ score and stunting rate of children. But my result was quite different in term of denominator with the number from DHS Myanmar report. (4,213 in my analysis and 4,089 in DHS report)

```
[global
global kr_child D:\SPA SCI\Technical Knowledge\GOVERMENT INFO\DHS
2015-16\dataset\MM_2015-16_DHS_05022017_255_106315\mmkr71dt\ MMKR71FL.dta
global pr person D:\SPA SCI\Technical Knowledge\GOVERMENT INFO\DHS
2015-16\dataset\MM_2015-16_DHS_05022017_255_106315\mmpr71dt\ MMPR71FL.dta
use "${kr_child}", clear
** Calculate Stunting Rate **
** Use DHS HAZ Variable **
tab hw70, m
gen haz dhs revised = hw70
replace haz_dhs_revised = .n if hw70 >= 9996
replace haz_dhs_revised = hw70/100 if !mi(haz_dhs_revised)
tab haz_dhs_revised, m
gen stunt = (haz_dhs_revised < -2)
replace stunt = .n if mi(haz dhs revised)
tab stunt, m
** Construct WEIGHT var using Women Insidividual Sample Weight **
gen wgt = v005/1000000
tab wgt, m
lookfor sampling // search for samping unit var
** Generate Stunting Rate & HAZ Mean Score by Weighted Data **
svyset, clear
svyset [pw = wgt], psu(v021) strata (v022)
```

Then, when I found this post in user forum, I revised my code and dataset usage with PR file (as follow). But, still got the different figure compare with DHS report and this time the denominator discrepancy became more larger as my analysis figure become 4,640.

[code][/clear

svy: mean haz dhs revised stunt

svyset, clear][/code]

```
use "${pr_person}", clear
** Check with DHS Calculated HAZ Variable **
tab hc70, m
gen haz_dhs_revised = hc70
replace haz dhs revised = .n if hc70 >= 9996
replace haz dhs revised = hc70/100 if !mi(haz dhs revised)
replace haz_dhs_revised = .n if hv103 != 1
tab haz dhs revised, m
tab hv103, m // should be 1
** Construct WEIGHT var using Women Insidividual Sample Weight **
gen wgt = hv005/1000000
tab wgt, m
lookfor sampling // search for samping unit var
gen stunt = (haz dhs revised < -2 \& hv103 == 1)
replace stunt = .n if hv103 != 1 | mi(haz_dhs_revised)
tab stunt, m
svyset, clear
svyset [pw = wgt], psu(hv021) strata (hv022)
svy: mean haz_dhs_revised stunt
svyset, clear
1
So, I was wondering there is anyone who can review my code and provide guidance to fix this
issue. Thanks in advance.
Best regards,
```

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates
Posted by Trevor-DHS on Thu, 15 Mar 2018 15:16:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In the output you get, the number of observations is 4640, but this is the unweighted number of cases. If you look at the Population Size, this is the weighted number of cases and should match the 4089 in the report.

Nicholus

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates
Posted by Nicholus Tint Zaw on Thu, 15 Mar 2018 15:25:04 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yes, the weighted population size was identical with DHS publication report.

So, for further analysis, I should check with that weight population size and DHS report instead of # of observation. right?

Thanks a lot for your reply.

best regards, Nicholus

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates

Posted by Trevor-DHS on Thu, 15 Mar 2018 15:26:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Yes, the DHS report presents the weighted number of cases which are given as the weighted population size in the Stata output, and not the unweighted number of observations

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates

Posted by Nicholus Tint Zaw on Fri, 16 Mar 2018 08:43:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks a lot.

By the way, one last question remained. Why we used PR data file instead of KR for this child stunting indicator.

To my knowledge, KR is the children dataset file. right?

best regards, Nicholus

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates

Posted by Trevor-DHS on Fri, 16 Mar 2018 13:54:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

KR is the children's recode file for children of interviewed women. The PR file includes all people who are usually resident in the household (de jure) or stayed in the household the prior night (de facto). By analyzing the children based on the PR file we include children who do not live with their mother or whose mother has died, which would be excluded if you used the KR file.

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates
Posted by Nicholus Tint Zaw on Fri, 16 Mar 2018 14:49:48 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks for your kind explanation. Now, it is very clear to me.

Subject: Re: incorrect stunting rates

Posted by anneclaireclaire on Wed, 08 May 2019 15:31:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Trevor, I am trying to match the stunting numbers for the RW (Rwanda) DHS 2014-2015 but it does not work. Can you please help me. I am using the following code

Thanks in advance

library(haven)

library(data.table)

RWPR70FL <- as.data.table(read_dta("~/Data/RW/2015_DHS/RWPR70FL.DTA", convert.factors = TRUE)))

RWPR70_2 <- RWPR70FL[!is.na(hc70) & hc70<9000 & hv103==1,]

RWPR70_2\$stunt2 <- cut(RWPR70_2\$hc70, breaks=c(-Inf, -200, Inf), labels=c("stunted","not sunted"))

RWPR70_2[,wt:= hv005/1000000] RWPR70_2[, district:=as.factor(shdistrict)]

RWPR70.w2 <- svydesign(id = ~ hv001, data = RWPR70_2, strata = ~ hv022, weights=~wt) svyby(~factor(stunt2), RWPR70_2\$district, RWPR70.w, svymean, verbose=TRUE)