
Subject: Regarding Occupation & Employment Codes
Posted by [soumava](#) on Thu, 01 Mar 2018 00:18:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,
For most of the eligible woman respondents, v717 as well as v731 shows missing value. How should these observations be incorporated in analysis? Any suggestion will be highly appreciated.

Thanks,

Subject: Re: Regarding Occupation & Employment Codes
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Fri, 02 Mar 2018 10:07:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Following is a response from Senior DHS Stata Specialist, Tom Pullum:

I assume you are talking about the NFHS-3, as in your earlier questions. In IAIR52FL.dta there are 98 cases with "99" on v717 and 17 with "9" on v731. No women at all have the Not Applicable code (".").

Subject: Re: Regarding Occupation & Employment Codes
Posted by [soumava](#) on Fri, 02 Mar 2018 18:43:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,
I am really sorry for the confusion. My question was actually on NFHS-4 (IAIR71FL.dta).

Thanks,
Soumava