

---

Subject: Regarding Occupation & Employment Codes  
Posted by [soumava](#) on Thu, 01 Mar 2018 00:18:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,  
For most of the eligible woman respondents, v717 as well as v731 shows missing value. How should these observations be incorporated in analysis? Any suggestion will be highly appreciated.

Thanks,

---

---

Subject: Re: Regarding Occupation & Employment Codes  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Fri, 02 Mar 2018 10:07:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Following is a response from Senior DHS Stata Specialist, Tom Pullum:

I assume you are talking about the NFHS-3, as in your earlier questions. In IAIR52FL.dta there are 98 cases with "99" on v717 and 17 with "9" on v731. No women at all have the Not Applicable code (".").

---

---

Subject: Re: Regarding Occupation & Employment Codes  
Posted by [soumava](#) on Fri, 02 Mar 2018 18:43:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,  
I am really sorry for the confusion. My question was actually on NFHS-4 (IAIR71FL.dta).

Thanks,  
Soumava

---