
Subject: Issue merging NFHS 2 women and household dataset

Posted by [Mrinal](#) on Tue, 30 Jan 2018 08:45:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I am trying to merge the women and the household dataset of NFHS 2. However, after merging the results are not making any sense!! NFHS 2 women dataset has 90,303 observations and household dataset contains 92,486 observations but after merging the merged file shows 104,979 observation, I am trying to understand the result, is it correct or the merging has some issue?? I am also getting an error saying

Quote: variables v001 v002 do not uniquely identify observations in the master data
variables v001 v002 do not uniquely identify observations in
D:\Dropbox\stata\nfhs\IAHR42FL_sort.DTA

Complete result of merging and codes used to merge is given below for reference.

"_merge" result

tab _merge

_merge	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
-----+-----			
2	5,722	5.45	5.45
3	99,257	94.55	100.00
-----+-----			
Total	104,979	100.00	

Merging codes

****Merging household on women dataset****

****Round 2****

```
use "D:\Desktop\dhs\data\nfhs\2\IAHR42FL.DTA", clear
```

```
gen int v001 = hv001
```

```
gen int v002 = hv002
```

```
sort v001 v002
```

```
save "D:\Dropbox\stata\nfhs\IAHR42FL_sort.DTA", replace
```

```
use "D:\Desktop\dhs\data\nfhs\2\IAIR42FL.DTA", clear
```

```
sort v001 v002
```

```
merge v001 v002 using "D:\Dropbox\stata\nfhs\IAHR42FL_sort.DTA"
```

```
save "D:\Desktop\dhs\data\nfhs\2\IA_HR_IR_42FL.DTA", replace
```

Thanks

Mrinal

Subject: Re: Issue merging NFHS 2 women and household dataset

Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Tue, 30 Jan 2018 13:42:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another response from Tom Pullum:

You should not use the HR file for this merge. The HR file has one record for each household. Instead, use the PR file, which has one record for every person in the household. The following lines will do the merge. In the output file, the cases with `_merge=3` are the women in the IR file. The cases with `_merge=1` are the other people in the PR file, that is, the household members other than the women in the IR file.

set more off

* Prepare IR file for merge

use e:\DHS\DHS_data\IR_files\IAIR42FL.dta, clear

gen hv001=v001

gen hv002=v002

gen hvidx=v003

sort hv001 hv002 hvidx

save e:\DHS\DHS_data\scratch\IAIRtemp.dta, replace

* Prepare PR file for merge

use e:\DHS\DHS_data\PR_files\IAPR42FL.dta, clear

sort hv001 hv002 hvidx

* Merge IR with PR

merge hv001 hv002 hvidx using e:\DHS\DHS_data\scratch\IAIRtemp.dta

tab _merge

Subject: Re: Issue merging NFHS 2 women and household dataset

Posted by [Mrinal](#) on Wed, 31 Jan 2018 07:38:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks, both of you again!!

But I am really puzzled by the results.

IR file has 90,303 observations

PR file has 517,379 observations

However, in the merged file its showing 143,944 observations for `_merge=3` which as you aforementioned are women in IR file.

Whats going on?

One more thing, can I use IR file as the base and merge only the matching observations from PR file?

Regards,
Mrinal

Subject: Re: Issue merging NFHS 2 women and household dataset
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Thu, 01 Feb 2018 12:13:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Another response from Tom Pullum:

The lines I gave yesterday will work for almost every DHS survey. However, I see that for this survey of India the id code includes another number. I saw this by comparing hhid in the PR file with caseid in the IR file. If you check you will find that hhid is a 12-character string and caseid is a 15-character string consisting of hhid in columns 1-12 and v003 in columns 13-15. I answered a related question on the forum yesterday regarding the Mali 2006 survey. In the case of Mali 2006 and some other surveys in West Africa, there is a sub-household code embedded in hhid and caseid. For this India survey, the extra code is the state, not the sub-household, but the strategy is basically the same--that is, to match caseid in the IR file with hhid hvidx in the PR file.

As an alternative, since state is given by v024, you could match v024 v001 v002 v003 in the IR file with hv024 hv001 hv002 hvidx in the PR file.

set more off

```
* Prepare IR file for merge
use e:\DHS\DHS_data\IR_files\IAIR42FL.dta, clear
gen hhid=substr(caseid,1,12)
gen hvidx=v003
sort hhid hvidx
save e:\DHS\DHS_data\scratch\IAIRtemp.dta, replace
```

```
* Prepare PR file for merge
use e:\DHS\DHS_data\PR_files\IAPR42FL.dta, clear
sort hhid hvidx
```

```
* Merge IR with PR
merge hhid hvidx using e:\DHS\DHS_data\scratch\IAIRtemp.dta
tab _merge
```

Subject: Re: Issue merging NFHS 2 women and household dataset

Posted by [priyoma](#) on Tue, 23 Oct 2018 21:26:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello!

I followed this particular advice for India (given the discrepancy of state and HHID 12 character long noted earlier on this thread).

Can someone please let me know if this has worked correctly for them?

Mrinal did you notice any errors after using this second stata code?

Best,
Priyoma

Subject: Re: Issue merging NFHS 2 women and household dataset

Posted by [Mrinal](#) on Wed, 24 Oct 2018 05:07:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Priyoma,

Though, I went by the second advice (To merge IR & PR), I did so in R and everything went fine.

Hence, I suppose it will not give any error in STATA as well.

All the best!

Mrinal
