
Subject: Female genital cutting
Posted by [Glory](#) on Fri, 19 Jan 2018 12:44:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi everyone,
I m working on FGC trends in Nigeria. However, i have a bit difficulty in reconciling my estimates with DHS report(2013) prevalence for 1) cut no flesh removed and 2) cut flesh removed. according to the report, the estimates are 5.8% and 62.6%, whereas i got 20.2% and 66.8%. I also noticed the report presented a common "dont know/missing" for both estimates(26.3), whereas the NDHS2013 dataset indicates different % of don't know/missing for the two separate variables (44.3% and 15.2%).

Thanks

Subject: Re: Female genital cutting
Posted by [Liz-DHS](#) on Fri, 23 Feb 2018 14:47:36 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear User,
are you looking at unweighted or weighted data? Most of the tables in our final reports use weighted data. If this is not the problem, please post again.
From The Standard Recode Manual:
<https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-DHSG4-DHS-Questionnaires-and-Manuals.cfm>
Quote:
V005 Sample weight is an 8 digit variable with 6 implied decimal places. To use the sample weight divide it by 1000000 before applying the weighting factor. All sample weights are normalized such that the weighted number of cases is identical to the unweighted number of cases when using the full dataset with no selection. This variable should be used to weight all tabulations produced using the data file. For self-weighting samples this variable is equal to 1000000.

Thank you!

Subject: Re: Female genital cutting
Posted by [Glory](#) on Fri, 23 Feb 2018 18:43:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Liz,

I am looking at the weighted estimates as presented in the DHS report.

Thank you

Subject: Re: Female genital cutting
Posted by [Liz-DHS](#) on Mon, 07 May 2018 15:00:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

A response from senior technical analyst, Dr. Lindsay Mallick,

Quote:

Dear Glory,

Thank you for your inquiry. The table describes the most severe type of FGC a woman has incurred. The don't know/missing column is calculated based on whether she responded yes to having ever been circumcised but did not say yes to any specific type. See the code below:

```
use NGIR6AFL.dta, clear
```

```
gen anycut = 0
```

```
replace anycut = 1 if g102 ==1 |g103 ==1 |g104 ==1 | g105 ==1
```

```
gen fleshrem = 0 if anycut ==1
```

```
replace fleshrem =1 if g103==1 & g104!=1 & g105!=1
```

```
gen nofleshrem = 0 if anycut ==1
```

```
replace nofleshrem =1 if g104==1 & g103!=1 & g105!=1
```

```
gen sewn = 0 if anycut ==1
```

```
replace sewn =1 if g105==1
```

```
gen dkmiss = 0 if anycut ==1
```

```
replace dkmiss = 1 if anycut ==1 & (g103!=1 & g104!=1 & g105!=1)
```

```
tab1 anycut fleshrem nofleshrem sewn dkmiss [iw=v005/1000000]
```

Thanks,
Lindsay