

---

Subject: Difference between key indicator report and final report

Posted by [Nabila](#) on Thu, 16 Mar 2017 07:47:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello,

We found a big difference in one of the indicators between Tanzania's key indicator report and final report for 2016.

For care-seeking behavior for children with pneumonia, while the key indicator report shows a results of 70%, the final report showed a result of 55%.

What could have caused this major difference in results?

Thank you in advance

Nabila

---

---

Subject: Re: Difference between key indicator report and final report

Posted by [Liz-DHS](#) on Thu, 16 Mar 2017 17:16:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear User, A response from one of our experts, Han Raggars:

Quote:

What I see here is that in the KIR, "ADDO" - accredited-drug-dispensing-outlets, is included for "Percentage for whom advise or treatment was sought from a health facility or provider" while in the Final Report this is excluded. Please see table 10.6 of the report also (Prevalence and treatment of fever) where two columns with and without ADDO are presented.

Pages 211-212 of the final report.

---

---

Subject: Re: Difference between key indicator report and final report

Posted by [Nabila](#) on Fri, 17 Mar 2017 06:51:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you Liz.

However I wasn't referring to any ADDO related indicator.

I was referring to the percent of children with ARI symptoms who sought treatment from a health facility or provider.

In the Tanzania final report 2015, Page 196, it states:

Among these children, 55% were taken to a health facility or provider for advice or treatment, and 40% with ARI symptoms received antibiotics (Table 10.5).

In the Tanzania KIR 2015, Page 27, it states:

Table 11 shows that treatment from a health facility or provider was sought for 70 percent of

children with ARI symptoms and 66 percent of those with fever.

The KIR shows care seeking was 70%, while final report shows its 55%.

---

---

Subject: Re: Difference between key indicator report and final report  
Posted by [Liz-DHS](#) on Tue, 28 Mar 2017 22:26:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear User,

The KIR report is considered preliminary data. I would go with what is in the final report. I will bring to the attention of the survey manager. If there is a problem with the final data we will let you know. Thank you for pointing this out.

---

---

Subject: Re: Difference between key indicator report and final report  
Posted by [Nabila](#) on Wed, 05 Apr 2017 07:22:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Liz,

Thank you for your reply and raising the issue with your survey manager.

We had been concerned that there were probably errors in compiling either the KIR or final report. Especially given that care seeking behavior (children with ARI) written in the KIR was exactly the same as that of DHS 2012 (Tanzania). In addition we thought a 15% decline in care-seeking behavior would be unlikely given all the behavioral change campaigns targeting healthcare seeking behavior in the country. We have been wondering if there is any explanation to this drop. Thanks

---

---

Subject: Re: Difference between key indicator report and final report  
Posted by [Liz-DHS](#) on Tue, 03 Oct 2017 14:54:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear User,

Please assume the final report is correct. A response from Dr. Michelle Winner (survey manager):  
Quote:

While working on the final report, we noticed that compared to the KIR, some indicators had different values. Corrections were made for the final report. The indicator value in the final report is the same as in STATcompiler.

---