## Subject: Post-stratification for DHS data Posted by sd535 on Thu, 17 Nov 2016 03:36:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I wish to post-stratify the Bangladesh DHS data at district-level according to the total number of children per district extracted from the recent census.

I use the following code for post-stratification. However, I didn't get any change after post-stratification. Am I doing any wrong?

## Preliminary Design:

DHSdesign <- svydesign(id = child.data.HAZ\$V001, strata=child.data.HAZ\$V023, weights = child.data.HAZ\$V005/1000000, data=child.data.HAZ)

```
ps.weights <-
data.frame(
    CODIST = c (PostStrata.Wt.Cal$dist.id) , # District ID (relates to CODIST )
    Freq = c( PostStrata.Wt.Cal$children.census ) # Number of children under 5
)

DHSdesign.Post.Strata <-
postStratify(
    DHSdesign ,
    strata = ~CODIST ,
    population = ps.weights
)
```

DE.MEAN.HAZ.District<-svyby(~HW70, ~CODIST, DHSdesign, svymean)
PS.DE.MEAN.HAZ.District<-svyby(~HW70, ~CODIST, DHSdesign.Post.Strata, svymean)
SE.DE.MEAN.HAZ.District<-svyby(~HW70, ~CODIST, DHSdesign, svymean)[,3]\*100
PS.SE.DE.MEAN.HAZ.District<-svyby(~HW70, ~CODIST, DHSdesign.Post.Strata, svymean)[,3]\*100

summary(DE.MEAN.HAZ.District)
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
-241.6 -185.3 -162.2 -167.6 -146.8 -112.0
summary(PS.DE.MEAN.HAZ.District)
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
-241.6 -185.3 -162.2 -167.6 -146.8 -112.0

> summary(SE.DE.MEAN.HAZ.District) Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max 0.0 935.5 1326.0 1298.0 1612.0 2536.0

> summary(PS.SE.DE.MEAN.HAZ.District) Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max 0.0 935.5 1326.0 1298.0 1612.0 2536.0 There is no difference. And the most im[portant problem is that the SE for one area is zero.

Can you please inform me where I am doing the wrong.

Subject: Re: Post-stratification for DHS data Posted by Bridgette-DHS on Thu, 17 Nov 2016 17:19:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Following is a response from Senior DHS Stata Specialist, Tom Pullum:

Quote: I'm sorry, but I don't use SPSS, and I can't figure out what you did.

I would calculate the total number of weighted cases that you want to have in each district. I think of these as "target" totals. They are obtained by multiplying the total number of cases (unweighted) in your file by the proportions that are in each district in the census. You then multiply the weight (v005) in each district by the ratio of the target total to the current weighted number of cases in the district.

I will illustrate how you would do this in Stata to obtain a uniform distribution of weighted cases across the seven region (v024):

set more off use e:\DHS\DHS\_data\KR\_files\BDKR70FL.dta, clear sort v024 save e:\DHS\DHS\_data\scratch\BDtemp.dta, replace

keep v024 v005

gen wtd\_n=v005/1000000
gen unwtd\_n=1
collapse (sum) \*wtd\_n, by(v024)
list, table clean

\* adjust v005 so that the total weight will be the same in each region

summarize unwtd\_n scalar stotal=r(sum) scalar list stotal gen target=stotal/7 gen v005\_factor=target/wtd\_n list, table clean keep v005\_factor v024

sort v024 merge v024 using e:\DHS\DHS\_data\scratch\BDtemp.dta

tab \_merge

gen v005\_rewtd=round(v005\*v005\_factor)

\*check that the new distribution matches the targets gen wtd\_n=v005/1000000 gen rewtd\_n=v005\_rewtd/1000000 gen unwtd\_n=1 collapse (sum) \*wtd\_n, by(v024) list. table clean

Subject: Re: Post-stratification for DHS data

Posted by sd535 on Sun, 11 Dec 2016 13:35:59 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Tom Pullum,

We followed your instruction and get results exactly same with/without post-stratification. For your convenience, we are sending you the data with stata code and a theoritical statement in a pdf file. Can you please instruct us whether we are in right track to provide some statistics (with SE) at district-level?

Regards, Sumon

## File Attachments

- 1) Post Stratification for BDHS.rar, downloaded 640 times
- 2) Post-stratification Weight Calculation.pdf, downloaded 669 times

Subject: Re: Post-stratification for DHS data Posted by Bridgette-DHS on Tue, 13 Dec 2016 20:36:40 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Following is a response from DHS Senior Research Associate, Shireen Assaf:

Dear Sumon,

Following Tom's instructions, you forgot to perform one step which is important to have the total weight same in each district (i.e. divide by the number of districts which is 64). I performed this step in the attached do file, and when I use the svy with the different weights I obtain different standard errors.

Please change the paths in the do file back to your paths.

Thank you.

Best regards,

Shireen Assaf

File Attachments
1) DistrictSpecificWeight\_Syntax.do, downloaded 832 times