## Subject: Merging Children with Household Posted by befuling on Thu, 17 Mar 2016 00:34:42 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I'm working with the 2012 Peru (continuous) data set and am having troubles merging the children's set with the household characteristics data. I want to apply the household characteristics to each child (and will use the child as the unit of analysis). This is my first time working with DHS data, and I am a novice Stata user (learning as I go).

Using advice from previous posts, I used V001 V002 V003 and b16 to attempt to uniquely identify the children. However, Stata informs me that "variables v001 v002 v003 hvidx do not uniquely identify observations in the master data". Below is my syntax for merging PR into the KR file.

use "C:\Users\Beth\Desktop\Peru Project\Data

Files\PE\_2012\_ContinuousDHS\_10092015\_2126\_84061\pepr6idt\PEP R6IFL.DTA ", clear

rename hv001 v001

rename hv002 v002

rename hv003 v003

sort v001 v002 v003 hvidx

save "C:\Users\Beth\Desktop\Peru Project\Data

Files\PE\_2012\_ContinuousDHS\_10092015\_2126\_84061\pepr6idt\PEP R6IFLTEMP1.DTA ", replace

use "C:\Users\Beth\Desktop\Peru Project\Data

Files\PE\_2012\_ContinuousDHS\_10092015\_2126\_84061\pekr6idt\PEK R6IFL.DTA ", clear rename b16 hvidx

sort v001 v002 v003 hvidx

merge 1:1 v001 v002 v003 hvidx using "C:\Users\Beth\Desktop\Peru Project\Data Files\PE 2012 ContinuousDHS 10092015 2126 84061\pepr6idt\PEP R6IFLTEMP1.DTA "

I appreciate any insight into this!

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by Bridgette-DHS on Tue, 22 Mar 2016 13:48:38 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Following is a response from Senior DHS Stata Specialist, Tom Pullum:

The following should work (I have shortened your filenames):

use PEPR6IFL.DTA, clear rename hv001 v001 rename hv002 v002 rename hvidx b16 sort v001 v002 b16 save PEPR6IFLTEMP1.DTA, replace

use PEKR6IFL.DTA, clear sort v001 v002 b16 merge 1:1 v001 v002 b16 using PEPR6IFLTEMP1.DTA

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by befulina on Tue, 22 Mar 2016 18:52:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thank you for the response!

I copied and pasted in your suggested code (with my full file names), and am still receiving the same error:

"variables v001 v002 b16 do not uniquely identify observations in the master data"

What else can I try? Why would b16 identify multiple children in the household?

Thank you for your help!!

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by user-rhs on Sun, 27 Mar 2016 02:53:10 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

When you merge 2 datasets in Stata, Stata identifies one of the datasets as the "master" data and the other dataset as the "using" data. The master dataset is the one in memory, while the using dataset is the dataset that is identified in the -merge- command after 'using.'

If there are multiple observations in PEKR61FL.DTA with the same v001, v002, and b16 combination, you will get an error message after running

use PEKR6IFL.DTA, clear sort v001 v002 b16 merge 1:1 v001 v002 b16 using PEPR6IFLTEMP1.DTA

because the merge command specified a 1:1 (one-to-one) merge, meaning: you are telling Stata that in both the master and using datasets, v001, v002, and b16 are unique identifiers. Obviously, the command will not work if v001, v002, and b16 do not uniquely identify observations in either or both datasets.

There was a slight typo/omission in the code Tom sent you below, which led to the error message. The merge using should be v001, v002, v003, and b16 instead of v001, v002, b16, since the child is identified via its cluster number (v001), household number (v002), mother's line number (v003),

and finally its line number in the HH roster (b16). Try it with the correction and I'm sure it will work this time.

HTH, rhs

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by befuling on Sun, 27 Mar 2016 17:59:36 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Thanks!

However, even including mother's line number (v003) did not uniquely identify the children in the KR set. Running a quick duplicates list shows that there are several children identified by these sets of supposed unique identifiers (26, to be exact). I'm quite at a loss of how to proceed!

duplicates list v001 v002 v003

gro	oup ob	s v00	1 v0	)2	v003 b16
1 1 2 2 3	190 191 437 438 910	21 21 59 59 132	33 33 40 40 14	2 2 2 2 2	Not listed in household Not listed in household Not listed in household Not listed in household Not listed in household
3	911	132	14	7	Not listed in household
4	1027	150	23	2	
4	1028	150	23	2	
5	1768	256	31	2	3
5	1769	256	31	2	3
6	1876 1877	269 269	1 1	2 2	· .
7	2296	338	44	2	4
7	2297	338	44	2	4
8	2343	345	57	2	4
8	2344	345	57	2	4
9	2793	447	26	4	Not listed in household
9	2794	447	26	4	Not listed in household
10	3179	478	2	2	Not listed in household
10	3180	478	2	2	Not listed in household
11	3664	552	149		2 4

11 11 12 12	3665 3666 3859 3860	552 552 570 570	149 149 92 92	2 2 2 2	4 4 3 3
13 13 14 14 15	4033 4034 4104 4105 4188	595 604 604	44 44 73 73 42	1 1 2 2 2	Not listed in household Not listed in household
15 16 16 16 17	4189 4804 4805 4819 4820	712	42 32 32 44 44	2 2 2 2 2	
18 18 18 19	5121 5122 5123 5638 5639	752 841	14 14 14 94 94	2 2 2 2 4 4	
20 20 21 21 21 22	7541 7542 7588 7589 8448	1164 1164	42 42 60 60 132	2 2 2 2 2 2	4 4 3 3 3
22 23 23 24 24	8449 8506 8507 8561 8562	1263 1268 1268 1273 1273	132 128 128 128 149 149	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 4
24 25 25 25 26 26	8563 8855 8856 9247 9248	1273 1315 1315 1374 1374	149 174 174 26 26	2 2 2 2 2 2	3

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by user-rhs on Sun, 27 Mar 2016 18:46:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Befulina,

I stand corrected. You need to manually check the 26 duplicates and determine whether it makes sense to include them in the analysis. I noticed that some of the children don't have a line number in the HH roster, which probably means that they were dead at the time of interview (remember the birth hx questionnaire asks the woman of ALL births regardless of current vital status). I also saw that some children had "not listed in household" as the value for b16, which means that they belong to the woman being interviewed, but they do not live in the household with her. An example would be if the child lived with grandparents or if the woman was divorced/separated from the child's father and the child lives with him instead of her.

Here's a way to manually inspect the duplicates:

\*Create a variable that flags duplicates using v001, v002, v003, b16 bysort v001 v002 v003 b16: gen count=\_N /\*\_N is one of two built-in count variables in Stata that indicates the highest count within a group, in this case (v001 v002 v003 b16) \*/

\*See how many are duplicates tab count

\*Visually inspect the duplicate records sort b5 v001 v002 v003 b16 browse v001 v002 v003 b16 b5 b6 b8 if count>1

After you determine why the children don't have line numbers or are not listed in the HH roster, you can make a decision whether to include or exclude them. Remember that you can merge HH characteristics to the children without having their HH roster line number by merging using the HH unique identifier (v001 v002). If you're interested in all children born to a woman in the past 5 years, then it would be a good idea to include the dead children as well. If a child does not live with the mother, my inclination is that it would not make sense to link the mother's HH characteristics to the child, but again, that is your call to make.

HTH, rhs

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by Bridgette-DHS on Mon, 28 Mar 2016 14:06:06 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Additional comment from Tom Pullum, in response to your post of 3/22/2016:

The lines I sent you would normally merge the PR and KR files successfully, but I see that they do not work for Peru 2012. There appears to be a sub-household identifier that must be specified in the merge. I am looking into this and will get back to you.

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

It could be data entry error too. Six duplicate sets were children who were not listed in the HH roster, although 3 pairs were reported as living with the respondent (Table 1). Seven duplicate pairs were of deceased children--those are straightforward (Table 2). The remaining 13 multiples sets (11 duplicate pairs and 2 triplicate sets) seem highly suspicious (Table 3). For example, check out the first triplicate set (552 149 2 4). You have a 3 living children: a female born 3/2008, a male born 1/2008, and another male born 7/2009. All are singlets. It's highly unlikely to have a child born 1/2008 and another child born 2 months later on 2/2008 (again, both singlets), unless the children were adopted, incorrectly classified as single pregnancies instead of twins, or the woman has a rare condition (e.g. double uterus). Notice also that the MIDX was the same for the female born 3/2008 and the male born 7/2009.

Table 1. Children not listed in household (b16=0) bysort b5: list v001 v002 v003 b16 b0 b1 b2 b4 b5 b9 midx if count>1 &b16 ==0, sepby(v001 v002 v003) noobs -> b5 = No

-> b5 = Yes

+									+
:			003 b16						midx   l
ı			Not listed in household						I
•			Not listed in household	·					Lives
•	40	2	Not listed in household						Respondent
2     59 1	40	2	Not listed in household	2nd of multiple					Respondent
132   3	14	7	Not listed in household						Respondent
132 2			Not listed in household	· ·					Respondent
447			Not listed in household						Respondent
1			Not listed in household	•					Respondent
1			Not listed in household						ves elsewhere
•	2	2	Not listed in household	Single birth	11	2011	Male	Yes Li	ives elsewhere

Table 2. Deceased children

. bysort b5: list v001 v002 v003 b16 b0 b1 b2 b4 b5 b9 midx if count>1 &b16 !=0, sepby(v001 v002 v003) noobs

-> b5 = No

<b>+</b>			
v001	v002	v003	b16 b0 b1 b2 b4 b5 b9 midx
150 150	23 23	2 . 2 .	1st of multiple 10 2009 Female No . 2   2nd of multiple 10 2009 Female No . 1
269 269	1	2 . 2 .	Single birth 5 2007 Female No . 2   Single birth 4 2009 Female No . 1
595 595	44 44		1st of multiple 11 2007 Female No . 2   2nd of multiple 11 2007 Female No . 1
613 613	42 42	2 . 2 .	1st of multiple 10 2011 Male No . 2   2nd of multiple 10 2011 Male No . 1
712 712	32 32	2 . 2 .	1st of multiple 11 2007 Male No . 3   2nd of multiple 11 2007 Male No . 2
714 714	44 44	2 . 2 .	Single birth 5 2011 Male No . 1   Single birth 12 2009 Female No . 2
   841   841 +	94 94	4 . 4 .	

Table 3. Children in household (b16 !=0), with different DOB but same line numbers -> b5 = Yes

+								+	
v001	v002	v003	b16	b0	b1 b2	b4	b5	b9 midx	
256	31	2 3	3 Single I	oirth 11	2009	Male	Yes	Respondent	1
256	31	2 3	3 Single I	oirth 4	2008	Male	Yes	Respondent	1

338	44 44	2 2		Single birth Single birth		2009 2008	Female Yes Respondent 1   Male Yes Respondent 1
345   345	57 57	2 2		Single birth Single birth		2010 2009	Male Yes Respondent 1   Male Yes Respondent 1
552   552   552   552	149 149 149	2 2 2	4 4 4	Single birth Single birth Single birth	3 1 7	2008 2008 2009	Female Yes Respondent 1    Male Yes Respondent 2    Male Yes Respondent 1
570   570   570	92 92	2 2		Single birth Single birth	7 11	2008 2009	Male Yes Respondent 2   Male Yes Respondent 1
752   752   752	14 14 14	2 2 2	4	Single birth Single birth Single birth	12	2011 2008 2009	Female Yes Respondent 1    Male Yes Respondent 1    Male Yes Respondent 1
1156   1156	42 42	2 2	4 4	Single birth Single birth		2011 2010	•
1164   1164	60 60	2 2	3 3	Single birth Single birth		2011 2011	·
1263   1263	132 132	2 2	3 3	•		2012 2008	
1268   1268	128 128	2 2	3 3	J			Female Yes Respondent 1
1273   1273   1273   1273	149 149 149			Single birth	6		Male Yes Respondent 2   Male Yes Respondent 1   Female Yes Respondent 1
1315	174 174	2 2		_			 Female Yes Respondent 1   Female Yes Respondent 2
1374	26 26		5	•	10	2009	
•							•

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household

## Additional Comments from Tom Pullum:

I have found that in this survey there are sometimes sub-households. The sub-household codes are not given by a variable but must be extracted as the 9th character in hhid and caseid. The following Stata lines will do the merge. At some points I do "tab subhh" and "tab b8" but those lines are only for checking. They are not needed for the merge. Let me know if there are still any problems.

use e:\DHS\DHS\_data\PR\_files\PEPR6IFL.DTA, clear gen str2 subhh=substr(hhid,9,1) destring subhh, replace tab subhh,m sort hv001 hv002 subhh hvidx save e:\DHS\DHS\_data\scratch\PEPR6IFLTEMP1.DTA, replace use e:\DHS\DHS\_data\KR\_files\PEKR6IFL.DTA, clear gen str2 subhh=substr(caseid,9,1) destring subhh, replace tab subhh,m tab b8 drop if b16==0 | b16==. rename v001 hv001 rename v002 hv002 rename b16 hvidx sort hv001 hv002 subhh hvidx merge 1:1 hv001 hv002 subhh hvidx using e:\DHS\DHS\_data\scratch\PEPR6IFLTEMP1.DTA tab b8 \_merge,m keep if merge==3 drop \_merge tab b8

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by user-rhs on Thu, 31 Mar 2016 19:52:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Tom and Bridgette--Thanks for this follow-up post. I wasn't aware of sub-HH identifiers until now. As they say: you learn something new every day!

Subject: Re: Merging Children with Household Posted by Bridgette-DHS on Fri, 29 Apr 2016 12:52:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You are welcome.