

---

Subject: urban-rural variable

Posted by [menonidhi](#) on Tue, 21 Apr 2015 14:14:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In my study, I have two variables - V025: which classifies place of residence as Urban/Rural and S025: which classifies residence as Mega city/Large City/Small City/Large Town/Small town/Rural.

I was able to extract the former only for females. To check if I could substitute V025 with S025( which I was able to extract for both the sexes), I checked the distribution of urban and rural population among females. I clubbed the values of Mega city/Large City/Small City/Large Town/Small town as Urban.

I however observe few discrepancies. The number of females in the urban area is 18,442 and rural is 20,815. In the second variable, the number of females in rural area is 23,529. I have summed the values of mega city,large city,small city, large town and small town which comes to 15,728.

I observe an exact difference of 2,714 i.e. (23,529-20,815=2714) and (18,442-15,728 =2,714). I have attached my results for any reference for the values mentioned above.

Is there a reason for this difference.

---

#### File Attachments

1) [urban-rural.txt](#), downloaded 708 times

---

---

Subject: Re: urban-rural variable

Posted by [Trevor-DHS](#) on Fri, 24 Apr 2015 19:21:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

It wasn't very clear from your explanation which survey and datasets you are using, but it appears that you are using the HIV tests results data, matched with other datasets. However it appears that there is a problem with the matching of your data. I would expect the number of women and men to be roughly equal, but you have twice as many men as women. Below is a short piece of code for matching with the persons recode file, and for checking the sex distribution:

```
cd "C:\Data\DHStata"  
use "IAPR52FL.dta"  
clonevar hivclust = hv001  
clonevar hivnumb = hv002  
clonevar hivline = hvidx  
merge 1:1 hivclust hivnumb hivline using "IAAR51FL.dta"  
keep if _merge==3  
.tab hv104  
.tab hv025  
.tab sh025  
.tab sh025 hv025  
From this, I received the following output:  
.tab hv104
```

sex of   household   member	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
<hr/>			
male	51,641	48.88	48.88
female	54,016	51.12	100.00
<hr/>			
Total	105,657	100.00	

. tab hv025

type of   place of   residence	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
<hr/>			
urban	52,697	49.88	49.88
rural	52,960	50.12	100.00
<hr/>			
Total	105,657	100.00	

. tab sh025

city\town\c   ountryside	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
<hr/>			
mega city	8,696	8.23	8.23
large city	21,989	20.81	29.04
small city	6,352	6.01	35.05
large town	1,671	1.58	36.64
small town	13,989	13.24	49.88
rural	52,960	50.12	100.00
<hr/>			
Total	105,657	100.00	

. tab sh025 hv025

city\town\   type of place of countrysid   residence	urban	rural	Total
<hr/>			
mega city	8,696	0	8,696
large city	21,989	0	21,989
small city	6,352	0	6,352
large town	1,671	0	1,671
small town	13,989	0	13,989
rural	0	52,960	52,960
<hr/>			

Total | 52,697 52,960 | 105,657

As you can see in my tables (unweighted) there are about 49% men and 51% women, which is roughly what I would expect.

Also, as you can see the recoding of urban and rural makes sense in the last table.

---