Subject: Labeling mistakes in exposure to mass media variables in Zambia 2007 stata dataset

## Posted by ykang12 on Sun, 03 Aug 2014 16:00:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
I am Yunhee, graduate student of Johns Hopkins School of Public Health.
I have been doing data analysis with Zambia 2007 DHS dataset (stata format) and I wanted to know exposure to mass media among adolescent groups by tabulating such variables (v157,v158, v159). But I found labeling mistakes below and questions/answers seemed to be inconsistent with questions in DHS questionnaires. Could you help me clear about this?

```
< STATA dataset>
```

. tab v157
frequency of reading |
newspaper or magazine | Freq. Percent Cum.
no education, preschool| $\quad 883 \quad 55.60 \quad 55.60$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { primary | } & 233 & 14.67 & 70.28\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { secondary | } & 261 & 16.44 & 86.71\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { higher } \mid & 209 & 13.16 & 99.87\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}9 \mid & 2 & 0.13 & 100.00\end{array}$
Total| 1,588 100.00
: their answers were recorded as $0,1,2,3,9$, which is not consistent with Zambia questionnaire itself and even compared with other countries' dataset.
< DHS questionnaires>
Do you read a newspaper or magazine almost every day, at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all?
ALMOST EVERY DAY . . . . . . . . . . . 1
AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK . . . . . . . . . 2
LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK . . . . . . . . 3
NOT AT ALL . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4

## Subject: Re: Labeling mistakes in exposure to mass media variables in Zambia 2007 stata dataset <br> Posted by Bridgette-DHS on Mon, 04 Aug 2014 12:45:03 GMT <br> View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am looking at the frequencies in the file: zmir51fl.dta, and can't see what you have in your post. Please send me the complete name of the file you are using, and I will run some frequencies to compare with the result you are having.

Subject: Re: Labeling mistakes in exposure to mass media variables in Zambia 2007 stata dataset
Posted by dab000@mail.harvard.edu on Wed, 28 Jun 2017 13:57:47 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
Hello Bridgette,
I am having similar problems to what is described above for V157-V159 of the Kenya DHS for 2003 and 2008 - the response options in the dataset range from 0 to 3, rather than the options in the questionnaires, which range from 1 to 4 in the surveys, and tabulations of these responses do not cleanly map onto published results. For example, the final report for the Kenya DHS 2003 survey says that $22.5 \%$ of women read the newspaper at least once a week, $28.8 \%$ watch television at least once a week, and $75.1 \%$ listen to the radio once a week. However, this does not match the results I have calculated below.

I am not having problems reproducing other results, and I am also not having a problem reproducing these statistics in KDHS 2014 (although there is still a discrepancy in the response options in the dataset vs. the response options in the questionnaire.

Any advice or insights into this issue?
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Subject: Re: Labeling mistakes in exposure to mass media variables in Zambia
2007 stata dataset

Posted by Bridgette-DHS on Tue, 11 Jul 2017 14:35:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Following is a response from Senior DHS Stata Specialist, Tom Pullum:

Here is the weighted distribution of the responses to radio listening in the Kenya 2003 survey:
"Listening to the radio once a week" means listening at least that often. It is the sum of codes 2 and 3 , which is $75.18 \%$. If the report says $75.1 \%$, I would consider that to be a match. (The difference of a tenth of a percent is annoying but is probably due to the treatment of code 9.)

I don't know why codes 0 to 3 were used rather than codes 1 to 4 , for this survey. We occasionally encounter survey-specific or country-specific inconsistencies of this sort. Your
problem in getting a match was that your consolidation of codes was not appropriate for the 0 to 3 coding.

I assume that this will explain the problem getting a match for newspaper and TV too, and for Kenya 2008 as well as Kenya 2003.
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