

---

Subject: Sample weight for regional level analysis  
Posted by [kclakhara](#) on Sun, 21 Jan 2024 15:51:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear DHS Team,

I want to analyze data and generate indicator at regional level using NFHS-3,4,5 data. Please suggest, which sample weight should be use for this analysis.

The name of region given in the India National reports as follows:

North:- Chandigarh, Delhi, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Ladakh, Punjab, Rajasthan, Uttarakhand

Central:- Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh

East:- Bihar, Jharkhand, Odisha, West Bengal

Northeast:- Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram Nagaland, Sikkim, Tripura

West:- Dadra & Nagar Haveli and Daman & Diu, Goa, Gujarat, Maharashtra

South:- Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Lakshadweep, Puducherry, Tamil Nadu, Telangana

With Regards,

Kailash

---

#### File Attachments

1) [Table\\_Region\\_NFHS\\_India.docx](#), downloaded 262 times

---

---

Subject: Re: Sample weight for regional level analysis  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Mon, 22 Jan 2024 12:38:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Following is a response from Senior DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

I recommend that you just use the national weight for all your tables. This is hv005 in the HR and PR files, mv005 in the MR and CR files, and v005 in the IR, MR, and KR files. You could use the state weight for individual states, but I see that you also want regional estimates, for groups of states, and there are no regional weights. If you use the state weights for a group of states, then each state in a grouping will contribute equally, instead of in proportion to the size of each state in the grouping. That would not be a good thing.

If you use the national weight for individual states, then virtually everything will come out exactly the same as if you use the state weights. I recommend that you confirm this by producing some estimate with the state weight, and then the national weight, and compare the estimates. The only difference should be that the weighted number of cases will be different. The differences can be large. In that comparison, the state-weighted sample size will be closer to the unweighted

sample size but the national-weighted number of cases will be approximately proportional to the population of the states.

Note that the state weights are given by a single variable, not by separate state-specific variables. For example, in the PR file for the NFHS-5, the state weights are given by shweight, regardless of which state you are working with.

---

Subject: Re: Sample weight for regional level analysis

Posted by [btri](#) on Tue, 09 Apr 2024 06:49:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi DHS team,

MICS has provide the data weight template in excel sheet. Is there any DHS data weighting template like this? and can we get the template?

Thank you.

Regards

---

Subject: Re: Sample weight for regional level analysis

Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Tue, 09 Apr 2024 11:36:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Following is a response from Senior DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

I am not familiar with such a template. The various weights are included in the data files and there is no reason to re-calculate them.