
Subject: South Africa- Inconsistency between final report and data

Posted by [pelincagatay](#) on Sun, 22 Oct 2023 09:41:19 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Madam/Sir,

While I was doing an analysis for SA, I realized that the frequency of HV201 for the de jure population in urban areas are different from those presented in Table 2.3 in the final report. The number of cases for the de jure population in urban areas is stated as 23656 in Table 2.1, which I also found. However, in the following tables, it changes. I attached the screenshot of what I got from the SPSS, and the results presented in the final report. I do not know whether there is something I missed.

Thanks in advance

Pelin

File Attachments

1) [SA data and final report.docx](#), downloaded 287 times

Subject: Re: South Africa- Inconsistency between final report and data

Posted by [Janet-DHS](#) on Tue, 24 Oct 2023 13:32:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

In table 2.3, households with no toilet are omitted. These are households with hv205=31. If you try again to construct the table, omitting cases with hv205=31, you should get a match.

Subject: Re: South Africa- Inconsistency between final report and data

Posted by [pelincagatay](#) on Fri, 03 Nov 2023 15:19:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you so much.
