Subject: Place of Delivery
Posted by Tayo on Sun, 02 Jul 2023 07:26:50 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I am not sure why the figures I have are different from those on the DHS report.

For instance, the MIDX$1 shows me that 21792 women had births in the five year preceding the survey, however, the report on antenatal care shows that 21,911 had births in the last 5 years. I am assuming only women who had births needed antenatal care. What am I doing wrong?

Subject: Re: Place of Delivery
Posted by Janet-DHS on Thu, 13 Jul 2023 16:45:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

We apologize for the delay in this response, due to travel. It appears that you are using the IR file, in which bidx_1 is the most recent birth. I recommend that you use the KR file, which has one record for each birth in the past five years.

If this does not answer your question, please say specifically which table you are trying to match. Also note that all numbers in the tables, including frequencies, are weighted by v005.

Subject: Re: Place of Delivery
Posted by Tayo on Sat, 15 Jul 2023 03:30:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Good day,

Thank you for the response.

Please, I wish to know if it the same procedure applies to family planning and antenatal care because I am not getting the same result as those published in the report.

I anticipate your usual response.

Once again, thank you very much.

Kind regards,
Omotayo

Subject: Re: Place of Delivery

Posted by Janet-DHS on Wed, 19 Jul 2023 20:21:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

Yes, for all variables in the IR file that are indexed with subscripts _1, _2,...., _6, it will be easier if you use the KR file, in which these are separate cases with bidx=1 through 6. Let us know the table number if you are having trouble matching some specific indicator.

Subject: Re: PFamily Planning
Posted by Tayo on Sat, 19 Oct 2024 08:56:52 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Good day,

I am working with the 2018 DHS data set for Nigeria. Specifically, I am working on the uptake of modern contraceptives within the urban population. However, I noticed that my sample size for women in urban areas is different from yours. For example, you had 11,790 married urban women and 909 sexually active unmarried women. However, the figures I have are different.   I am using the IR file. I am using the SPSS software. Please advise.

Thank you,
Omotayo.

Subject: Re: PFamily Planning
Posted by Janet-DHS on Fri, 25 Oct 2024 18:43:01 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

Please specify which table in the 2018 final report has the frequencies 11,790 and 909.

Unless stated otherwise, all the frequencies in DHS final reports are weighted. Are you using weights?