

---

Subject: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Lincoln](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2022 09:46:28 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear forum members,

This is to request you to kindly share any example of calculating respondents who did not visit the health facility .

Regards  
Lincoln

---

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2022 12:23:17 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

From DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

Users are asked to be more specific. A general answer to a general question like this will not be very helpful to you. What survey are you working with? Are you trying to match a table in a report? If so, which table?

---

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Lincoln](#) on Thu, 13 Oct 2022 13:11:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Tom,

I was looking for Household variable in NFHS -5, which can guide me calculate the proportion of households who did or did not access health care in last three months. Similar to the table 11.20 which provides individual male/female information on percentage who visited to the health facility/camp in last three months, but at household level, not at individual level.

Regards  
Lincoln

---

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Mon, 17 Oct 2022 16:14:43 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

You already asked about Table 11.20, in August. As I said then, this table is based on s361 and

s368 in the IR file, and sm320 in the MR file. The questions are about individuals, not households. To construct a household-level version, you would have to allow for the possibility that there are no women, or no men, in the household. There can be more than one women or more than one man. The individual women or men will give different responses. The men are in a 1/6 subsample of households. In the households for which men were not sampled, the household-level classification would depend solely on the responses for women.

I can help if you will be more specific. How about calculating the following?

"Percentage of households with at least one woman who had any contact with a health worker in the past 3 months"

"Percentage of households with at least one woman who visited a health facility or camp in the past 3 months"

"Percentage of households with at least one man who visited a health facility or camp in the past 3 months"

If that's what you want, I can show you how to do it.

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Lincoln](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2022 13:06:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Tom,

Many thanks for the kind response.

Please guide me in doing the calculations for the three indicators. I am using R.

Regards  
Lincoln

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2022 16:42:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

There are probably different ways to interpret what you want. The following Stata code estimates this: Out of all households with at least one "yes" or "no" response, what is the percentage that had at least one "yes". I don't use R but you should be able to translate this from Stata to R.

```
use "...IAIR7DFL.DTA" ,clear  
gen reg=v024
```

```
gen cluster=v001
gen hh=v002
gen line=v003
```

```
gen s361_yes=1 if s361==1
gen s361_no =1 if s361==0
```

```
gen s368_yes=1 if s368==1
gen s368_no =1 if s368==0
```

\* Collapse to get the numbers of yes and no responses within households  
collapse (sum) s361\_\* s368\_\* (first) v005, by(reg cluster hh)

\* The units of analysis are households with at least one woman who has a response to s361  
tab s361\_yes s361\_no [iweight=v005/1000000]  
gen s361\_yes\_1plus=0 if s361\_yes+s361\_no>0  
replace s361\_yes\_1plus=1 if s361\_yes>1  
tab s361\_yes\_1plus [iweight=v005/1000000]

\* The units of analysis are households with at least one woman who has a response to s368  
tab s368\_yes s368\_no [iweight=v005/1000000]  
gen s368\_yes\_1plus=0 if s368\_yes+s368\_no>0  
replace s368\_yes\_1plus=1 if s368\_yes>1  
tab s368\_yes\_1plus [iweight=v005/1000000]

```
use "...IAMR7DFL.DTA", clear
gen reg=mv024
gen cluster=mv001
gen hh=mv002
gen line=mv003
```

```
gen sm320_yes=1 if sm320==1
gen sm320_no =1 if sm320==0
```

\* Collapse to get the numbers of yes and no responses within households  
collapse (sum) sm320\_\* (first) mv005, by(reg cluster hh)

\* The units of analysis are households with at least one man who has a response to sm320  
tab sm320\_yes sm320\_no [iweight=mv005/1000000]  
gen sm320\_yes\_1plus=0 if sm320\_yes+sm320\_no>0  
replace sm320\_yes\_1plus=1 if sm320\_yes>1  
tab sm320\_yes\_1plus [iweight=mv005/1000000]

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Lincoln](#) on Tue, 25 Oct 2022 20:06:50 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks.

Could manage to do it for the Men. Have problem with the women file. both for the facility and for meeting the health worker.

Regards  
Lincoln

---

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Lincoln](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2022 03:52:07 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I am not very familiar with STATA, but it will be great if you can please share the full code for either 361 or 368. to create the table 11.20.

Regards  
Lincoln

---

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2022 11:18:08 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

I just re-ran the code and it works fine for me. Perhaps you have a typo or the wrong data file?

---

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Lincoln](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2022 13:18:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I have re downloaded the data.

Please find attached the screen short.

---

I am still not able to generate the State wise table (Attached).

Regards  
Lincoln

### File Attachments

---

- 1) [Screenshot 2022-10-26 at 6.43.52 PM.png](#), downloaded 150 times
  - 2) [Screenshot 2022-10-26 at 6.48.02 PM.png](#), downloaded 144 times
- 

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Wed, 26 Oct 2022 15:46:48 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Following is a response from DHS staff member, Tom Pullum:

I think the problem is that v024 (or other covariates) must be included in the "collapse" command, after "(first)". Otherwise the covariate is lost. The following should do what you want.

```
use "...IAIR7DFL.DTA",clear
gen reg=v024
gen cluster=v001
gen hh=v002
gen line=v003
```

```
gen s361_yes=1 if s361==1
gen s361_no =1 if s361==0
```

```
gen s368_yes=1 if s368==1
gen s368_no =1 if s368==0
```

\* Collapse to get the numbers of yes and no responses within households  
collapse (sum) s361\_\* s368\_\* (first) v005 v024, by(reg cluster hh)

\* Must re-attach the values label after the collapse  
label values v024 V024

\* The units of analysis are households with at least one woman who has a response to s361  
tab s361\_yes s361\_no [iweight=v005/1000000]  
gen s361\_yes\_1plus=0 if s361\_yes+s361\_no>0  
replace s361\_yes\_1plus=1 if s361\_yes>1  
tab v024 s361\_yes\_1plus [iweight=v005/1000000], row

\* The units of analysis are households with at least one woman who has a response to s368  
tab s368\_yes s368\_no [iweight=v005/1000000]  
gen s368\_yes\_1plus=0 if s368\_yes+s368\_no>0  
replace s368\_yes\_1plus=1 if s368\_yes>1  
tab v024 s368\_yes\_1plus [iweight=v005/1000000], row

use "...IAMR7DFL.DTA",clear  
gen reg=mv024  
gen cluster=mv001  
gen hh=mv002  
gen line=mv003

gen sm320\_yes=1 if sm320==1  
gen sm320\_no =1 if sm320==0

\* Collapse to get the numbers of yes and no responses within households  
collapse (sum) sm320\_\* (first) mv005 mv024, by(reg cluster hh)

\* Must re-attach the values label after the collapse  
label values mv024 MV024

\* The units of analysis are households with at least one man who has a response to sm320  
tab sm320\_yes sm320\_no [iweight=mv005/1000000]  
gen sm320\_yes\_1plus=0 if sm320\_yes+sm320\_no>0  
replace sm320\_yes\_1plus=1 if sm320\_yes>1  
tab mv024 sm320\_yes\_1plus [iweight=mv005/1000000], row

---

Subject: Re: respondents not visiting health facility  
Posted by [Lincoln](#) on Thu, 27 Oct 2022 07:34:26 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I go the results. Many thanks for the kind support.

Regards  
Lincoln