
Subject: Healthcare Providers

Posted by [Gerse MUNDUKU](#) on Fri, 29 Oct 2021 03:47:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Dear Sir/Madam!

I am Gerse Munduku, MPH Student in the Graduate School of Public Health of Yonsei University. I am writing my thesis on job satisfaction among doctors working in the public sector using Dataset from SPA DRC 2017-2018.

According to the Congo Democratic Republic 2017-18 Final Report

(<https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/SPA30/SPA30.pdf>), Job satisfaction is defined as: "having opportunities for promotion, OR receiving salary supplements, OR receiving other non-monetary incentives." See the footnote of the Table 3.13 on the page 64.

My question is: why (on which basis) did the report give this definition of job satisfaction? Why did the report use OR and not AND? Why did not the report only use 1 variable, or two variables, or four variables to define the job satisfaction? Is there any freedom to give his own operational definition of Job satisfaction?

Regards,

Gerse MUNDUKU, from DR Congo

Subject: Re: Healthcare Providers

Posted by [SaraDHS](#) on Fri, 29 Oct 2021 20:15:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Gerse,

The coding of specific variables in the SPA often depend on country interpretations, so I do not know the history of the specific decision to code job satisfaction in this way in DRC. However, I can respond to your last question and say that yes, of course there is freedom for you to create a different operational definition of job satisfaction if you would like. Many of the constructs covered in the SPA, like quality of care and job satisfaction, do not have clear, consistent definitions. So if you have a different definition of job satisfaction that you would like to use in your research, please go ahead.

Best,
Sara
