

---

Subject: U5M and IMR

Posted by [shu2013](#) on Mon, 02 May 2016 13:44:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I am using the following code to examine U5M and IMR and specifically to compare urban/rural mortality and mortality within urban settings (by wealth); The trouble is, that the results are somewhat contrary to expectations... rural mortality is lower than overall urban mortality, and within the city, there is a non-linear relationship, whereby the third quintile has the highest mortality of the 5 wealth groups. This has led me to wonder if perhaps I am not estimating U5M and IMR correctly. For now, I have just used the Dominican Republic 2013 file, but I plan to expand the analysis to other LatAm countries. Can anyone comment? I have checked out other posts on this subject and the DHS statistics guide and I am still unable to see if/what I am doing wrong. Many thanks.

\*\*\*\*Calculating the IMR and CMR

\*V008: date of interview (CMC)

\*B3: date of birth (CMC)

\*B7: age at death (month imputed)

\*B5: whether the child is still alive

gen hypage=(v008-b3)/12

gen timeyears=.

replace timeyears=hypage

replace timeyears=b7/12 if b5==0

gen dead=(b5==0)

ltable timeyears dead, int(.5)

sort urbano

ltable timeyears dead, by(urbano) int(.5)

---