
Subject: Re: Comparative Wealth Index
Posted by [nael](#) on Wed, 13 Jan 2016 05:15:40 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear Liz-DHS,

Thank you for checking with the experts. However my question was why using the alpha and beta values you sent me in the excel sheet for Rwanda (1992, 2000, 2005 and 2010) gives different results for CWI than those in table 3.1 in the report in the following link <http://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/MR9/MR9.pdf> for the same surveys.

For 2005 for example, using the values you sent me for alpha and beta, the mean for CWI is almost 0 whereas in table 3.1 the mean for CWI is -0.965 etc.. (standard deviations are also different as you can see from the tables I pasted in the previous message below, if you compared with table 3.1)

I hope I clarified my point, if not please let me know and I will attach files that detail the explanation more..

Thanks again for your assistance!
