Subject: Re: Weighting district-level data Posted by amira.elshal.1@city.ac.uk on Fri, 18 Dec 2015 19:43:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

1) When I try to re-calculate the district-by-survey-round indicators again using the weights, I get the same results for each district (as in the unweighted case). I think this is probably due to the way I create/calculate the district-level indicator (below):

collapse (sum) women\_using\_modern\_methods (count) women\_interviewed, by(District) gen %women\_currently\_using\_modern\_methods=(sum\_of\_women\_using\_modern\_methods/count\_of\_women\_interviewed)\*100

Note: I denote women\_using\_modern\_methods by 1 before calculating the district-level indicator.

When I use the weights as you have kindly suggested (below), the district-level indicators are the same as in the unweighted case:

gen myweight=v005/1000000 collapse (sum) women\_using\_modern\_methods (count) women\_interviewed [pweight=myweight], by(District) gen %women\_currently\_using\_modern\_methods=(sum\_of\_women\_using\_modern\_methods/count\_of\_women\_interviewed)\*100

I do NOT simply create the district-level indicators as means of observations of individuals in these districts.

- 2) You made this point quite clear. Thank you.
- 3) I fail to do the clustering when I run the diff-in-diff regression below:

diff district indicator, t (treated) p (t), cluster(District)

Stata 12 gives me the return code 198 of invalid syntax. Shall the 'District' variable (or whichever variable I use to cluster) be written down in a particular way?

4) The PSU is not the district in my case. I spatially join the displaced cluster locations of women to the GIS polygon data of Egypt's district boundaries. i.e. I allocate each woman to the relevant district.