

---

Subject: Re: Weighting Data Question

Posted by [nholla](#) on Wed, 16 Dec 2015 22:21:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thank you for your detailed reply, and I apologize for not replying sooner.

We definitely can use the number of living children under 5 instead. Part of the confusion lies in the fact that we are doing a cox regression for one of our analyses, and as such are looking at the entire history of children born (both dead and alive) to a particular mother and are restricting our samples to different age groups (1-5 in one analysis and 1-15 in another). Would you recommend the # of children currently alive (assuming this would need to be changed depending on the age group I'm restricting to) to be a better way to rescale the data?

Did you mean grouping v024 and v025 ?(not v023, which I thought already represents the strata in some countries). That's what I've seen elsewhere on this forum, so I wanted to make sure. I also was wondering if I could use v101 and v102 in lieu of v024 and v025. In the earlier surveys that use phase I, these variables seem to be missing much of the time.

Thanks for all your help!

---