Subject: Re: When weights are not supported Posted by Reduced-For(u)m on Wed, 12 Nov 2014 01:19:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I almost pitched the same weighting work-around idea - just make your dataset sample size proportional to population by expanding the number of observations (and within-survey, you expand relative to the probability weights). I would just add that, if you use the "cluster" command and cluster at a level above the individual, then you should get proper inference because the model will "know" that there is no added variation from the extra observations.*

*Note: a great way to convince yourself of this is to generate some fake data, add an id number, run a regression, get the standard errors, and then replicate each observation 100 times (expand) and "cluster" on the id variable. You'll get back the original standard errors (while OLS on the expanded sample will produce SEs that are far too small). You could also do this using an original DHS dataset.