
Subject: Re: Philippines Migration and OFW
Posted by [Trevor-DHS](#) on Sun, 19 Oct 2014 14:57:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I believe that the Overseas Foreign Workers (OFWs) are being treated as de jure (usual) residents. They would not be considered as de facto unless they actually slept in the household the previous night.

A clarification of de jure and de facto:

De jure means people who usually live in the household (de jure means "concerning law" or "by law").

De facto means people who slept in the household the previous night (de facto means "concerning fact" or "in fact"). These are not just visitors, but includes all de jure members who slept in the household the previous night.

Most household members listed are both de jure and de facto.

However a person can be a de jure member but not a de facto member if they are a legal or usual resident of the household, but did not sleep in the household the previous night.

Also, a person can be a de facto member but not a de jure member if they do not usually live in the household but slept in the household the previous night, e.g. a relative visiting and staying overnight.

I believe the OFWs are considered de jure but not de facto (unless they just happened to have returned and stayed in the household the previous night, in which case they are both de jure and de facto).

The result of interview is not really identifying OFWs, but providing the reason that an interview could not be completed. I'm sure some OFWs are coded with other reasons for not completing the survey, and a very small number may actually have been interviewed if they happened to have been there. The final dataset actually has OFW and "Other" combined together and there are only 72 of them, of which I would guess at least half come from "Other" so I don't think you are going to be able to separate this.