
Subject: Re: Replicating Table 9.7: Timing of first postnatal checkup for the mother
Posted by [doria786](#) on Wed, 24 Apr 2024 09:50:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

When it comes to generating the `time_mother` variable, your conditions for categorizing the timing of postnatal care appear to be logically structured. However, one potential issue could be with the use of the `&` operator without enclosing the conditions in parentheses. In Stata, logical conditions combined with `&` should be enclosed in parentheses to ensure they are evaluated correctly.

**** WEIGHT VARIABLE**

```
gen weight = v005/1000000
```

**** SURVEY SET**

```
gen psu = v021
```

```
gen strata = v022
```

```
svyset psu [pw = weight], strata(strata)
```

When it comes to generating the `time_mother` variable, your conditions for categorizing the timing of postnatal care appear to be logically structured. However, one potential issue could be with the use of the `&` operator without enclosing the conditions in parentheses. In Stata, logical conditions combined with `&` should be enclosed in parentheses to ensure they are evaluated correctly.

Additionally, the `replace` command for `time_mother = . if bidx > 1` might be excluding some cases that should be included in the analysis. Make sure that this condition aligns with the methodology used in the PDHS report.

For the tabulation commands, it's crucial to ensure that the `format(%4.1f)` option is used consistently to match the report's percentage format. Also, check if the `row` option is necessary for your analysis, as it changes the way percentages are calculated. If you continue to face discrepancies, I recommend comparing your results with the PDHS report's methodology section or checking for any updates or errata related to Table 9.7. You can find the PDHS 2012-13 report [here](#) for reference.
