Subject: Re: All-women factor in trend analysis Posted by Serala on Mon, 24 Apr 2023 07:27:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

Thank you for your response! I do not quite understand these rows:

* Select if de facto, female, and age 15-49 keep if hv103==1 & hv104==2 & hv105>=15 & hv105<=49

* Again check eligibility vs marital status tab hv117 `lmarital_status'

keep if `Imarital_status'==0

* Again check eligibility vs marital status tab hv117 hv115

summarize hv005 if hv117==0 scalar mean_wt_nmw=r(mean)

summarize hv005 if hv117==1 scalar mean_wt_emw=r(mean)

scalar mean_wt_ratio_nmw_to_emw=mean_wt_nmw/mean_wt_emw scalar list mean_wt_ratio_nmw_to_emw

The "scalar mean_wt_emw" does not have any values as we have just gotten rid of all the eligible women (at least in the NPPR41FL data it seems that all eligible women are ever-married women). Therefore the "mean_wt_ratio_nmw_to_emw" only includes missing values. I think this is the reason the v005 only has missing values for never-married women in the final file.

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from The DHS Program User Forum