Subject: Re: Response rate and weights Posted by shreyaj7 on Wed, 12 Apr 2023 08:08:07 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Tom & Bridgette,

I am making a table for the prevalence of hypogamous marriages (Women marrying men with lesser education than them) state-wise for India.

The following steps were taken:

- 1. I used IR women's recode> selected only those women whose husband's background characteristics are available( 1/6th sample N=81487)> created variable hypogamy [Binary variable takes value 1 if wive\_edu> Husb\_edu; O otherwise]
- 2. set svy> svyset v021 [pw=wgt], strata(v023) singleunit(centered) ,where wgt= v005/1000000
- 3. Now I used the syntax to get my proportions> svy: mean hypogamy, over(state), this gives me the percentage of hypogamous marriages in each state and all India average as(25.98%)
- 4. I repeated the exercise by using state weights (sweight) and by merging men's weight from men's recode (mv005). I got the same results for sate level percentages by using state weights but different All India average (24.91 %). Similarly using men's weight changes the percentages for states and as well the all India average (27.26%).

Now I want to know if got the steps right and which results to go with I mean which weights to use in this context. Looking forward to reply. Thank you.