
Subject: Re: Guinea - improved sanitation
Posted by [mledger](#) on Wed, 18 Jun 2014 17:04:51 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, thank you for the response. Unfortunately I am still confused.

If the 2005 table on page XXV shows access to improved sanitation at 94.3% in urban areas yet access to improved sanitation is recorded as 39.4% in urban areas in 2012 (p.xvii) does that mean that something happened in Guinea to reduce access to improved sanitation by so much in urban areas? Or did you actually mean that for Guinea 2005 there is no distinction between shared and non-shared sanitation, in which case this would make a lot more sense but is a shame as that makes it incomparable to the other tables showing MDG achievement.

In the table on page 52, table 2.5 (2005 Guinea) adding together the 4 categories which have been included in the MDG table (p.XXV) comes to 97.6%. Is this because weighting was applied for the MDG table calculations?