
Subject: Re: DHS Burundi 1987
Posted by [id709nvz](#) on Wed, 07 Oct 2020 01:39:06 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear,
thanks for your insight and helpful comments. After going through the forum Q&A I follow the following weighting. Could you please evaluate it?

*After opening KR file of 2000 survey
gen wgt=v005/1000000
gen weight=(wgt*FEMALE POPULATION)/FEMALE SAMPLE -For KR 2000; FEMALE
POPULATION=14619 and FEMALE POPULATION=15367
gen survey=1

*Same procedure for 2005, 2011 and 2016. Except that I used different total number of female population, female sample and with gen survey 2, 3, and 4 respectively. Then I appended the data starting from the latest survey (2016). Afterward, I did the following.

```
egen cluster=group(survey v021) *V021 is PSU and survey identifies year of survey (coded 1, 2, 3 and 4)
egen stratum=group(survey v022) *v022 is sample strata for sampling error
svyset cluster [pw=wgt], strata(stratum) singleunit(centered)
```

Is it correct to use: `tab x1 x2 [iweight=wgt]` or `tab x1 x2 [iweight=weight]`
how about `svy: reg Y x1 x2` (Will this understand the complex nature of the survey).
Added to this: `svy` is not supported with `ivreg2` stata command is there an alternative to this? I simply used `ivreg2` with cluster option at `v001`.

Thank you in advance for any comments.
Kind regards!
