

---

Subject: Re: Dropping of Observations

Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Fri, 25 Sep 2020 12:58:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Following is a response from DHS Research & Data Analysis Director, Tom Pullum:

There is very little you can do with birthweight (m19) in this survey. It is only provided for about 18% of births. The rest are "not weighed" or "don't know". The 18% that include a numerical value have a lot of heaping and questionable extreme values. About 15% of the numerical values are heaped right at 2500g. I would not have a lot of confidence in these numbers.

If you do proceed, I would recommend that initially you construct 5 categories, something like these:  $m19 < 2500$ ;  $m19 = 2500$ ;  $m19 > 2500$  but  $< 9996$ ;  $m19 = 9996$ ; and  $m19 = 9998$ . Then check for a statistical association between that categorical variable and neonatal or infant mortality.

The interpretation is probably affected by the fact that children for whom a birthweight is given are much more likely to have taken place in a facility, which gives a survival advantage, even if the child was LBW.

You should definitely not drop the LBW children and you do not need to modify the sampling weights.

---