Subject: Re: matching unmet need in surveys to stata code
Posted by erica.rettig on Tue, 10 Sep 2019 16:07:58 GMT

Hello,

Thank you for the quick responses. However, I am still finding inconsistencies that are affecting the results. In the provided stata code, marriage status is checked between groups 2 and 3 (and then infecundity checks overwrite this, meaning that unmarried fecund women in groups 1 and 2 are included in the calculations). This is somewhat confusing, as it appears to be against the Bradley et al whitepaper, but I am assuming the code is correct over the whitepaper?

However, there are cases where it appears that marriage checks were performed before group 2 was calculated. For example, in RW6, there are 92 cases of unmarried women where the published v626a calculation is "not married and no sex in 30 days" and the unmet need calc using the provided stata code is "no unmet need". This also happens in 78 women in UG6. The list of RW6 mismatches is attached.

I want to confirm that the calculation of marriage should be done between groups 2 and 3 as per the stata code and that the published cases (and whitepaper charts) are incorrect.

File Attachments
1) rw6_inconsistencies.csv, downloaded 456 times