

---

Subject: Re: Mismatch between National report and NFHS4 raw data analysis  
Posted by [Muneer Kalliyil](#) on Wed, 19 Dec 2018 16:09:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Dear Sir,

Sorry for spamming your inbox again and again.

This time again with earlier same table, Table 61 Initial breastfeeding (attached below).

I am looking to the second column, Percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth. The table says that 29.9% of Urban and 33.8% of Rural children have been put into breast within one hour of birth.

myStata code;

```
recode m34 (0/100 = 1) (101/236 = 0), gen(bf1hour)
```

```
svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 1
```

```
svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 2
```

and our results say

```
. svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 1
```

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

```
Number of strata = 24 Number of obs = 828  
Number of PSUs = 282 Population size = 858.55407  
Design df = 258
```

RECODE of  
m34 (when  
child put  
to  
breast) proportions

```
0 .6929  
1 .3071
```

```
Total 1
```

Key: proportions = cell proportions

```
. svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 2
```

(running tabulate on estimation sample)

```
Number of strata = 72 Number of obs = 3735  
Number of PSUs = 917 Population size = 3725.5765  
Design df = 845
```

RECODE of  
m34 (when  
child put  
to  
breast) proportions

0	.6518
1	.3482

I agree it is a small difference, 30.71 in place of 29.9% and 34.82 in place of 33.8%, still, I am asking you because I got an exact matching solution from you for earlier problems.

Looking forward to hearing from you  
Thanks in advance

### File Attachments

---

1) [Screenshot 2018-12-18 at 10.51.42 AM.png](#), downloaded 418 times

---