## Subject: Re: Mismatch between National report and NFHS4 raw data analysis Posted by Muneer Kalliyil on Wed, 19 Dec 2018 16:09:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dear Sir.

Sorry for spamming your inbox again and again.

This time again with earlier same table, Table 61 Initial breastfeeding (attached below).

I am looking to the second column, Percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth. The table says that 29.9% of Urban and 33.8% of Rural children have been put into breast within one hour of birth.

```
myStata code;
recode m34 (0/100 = 1) (101/236 = 0), gen(bf1hour)
svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 1
svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 2
and our results say
. svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 1
(running tabulate on estimation sample)
Number of strata =
                       24 Number of obs = 828
Number of PSUs
                        282 Population size = 858.55407
 Design df = 258
RECODE of
m34 (when
child put
to
breast)
         proportions
0
      .6929
     .3071
            1
Total
Key: proportions = cell proportions
. svy: tab bf1hour if age < 24 & midx==1 & v102 == 2
(running tabulate on estimation sample)
                       72 Number of obs = 3735
Number of strata =
Number of PSUs
                        917 Population size = 3725.5765
 Design df = 845
```

RECODE of m34 (when child put to breast) proportions

0 .65181 .3482

I agree it is a small difference, 30.71 in place of 29.9% and 34.82 in place of 33.8%, still, I am asking you because I got an exact matching solution from you for earlier problems.

Looking forward to hearing from you Thanks in advance

## File Attachments

1) Screenshot 2018-12-18 at 10.51.42 AM.png, downloaded 534 times