Posted by dflood011 on Wed, 01 Nov 2017 03:22:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi DHS team,

I am using the Guatemala 2015 DHS dataset to analyze child stunting risk factors.

Using the Household (PR) file, I am able to replicate the stunting prevalence rates from the DHS report. However, I am wondering why I am getting such dramatically different numbers when I use the Children's file (KR)

My understanding is that the children in the KR file are a subset of children in the PR file. So it would make sense to have slight differences in the numbers between the two files.

Unweighted PR analysis: 6,607 stunted children out of 12,258 total children (53.9%)
Unweighted KR analysis: 8,170 stunted children out of 11,444 total children (71.4%)

But why would the percentages be so vastly different? Any why would there be MORE stunted children in the KR file if this is a subset of PR?

I have a feeling I am missing something obvious. Any help or guidance would be appreciated.