
Subject: Re: Sample size Kenya DHS 2014
Posted by [Glory](#) on Thu, 20 Jul 2017 23:58:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you very much for the feedback.

However, I would appreciate a little more clarification as regards the following:

1. The rationale for selecting "hv027" as a basis-a variable that identifies households selected for male interview, while the variable of interest "g102"-female genital cutting only applies to women in households and not men.
2. Kindly provide a justification for the merge procedure as well, as I expect the IR data file for individual women to suffice to estimate the percent (number circumcised/total women interviewed) of FGM in women, just like any other DHS survey.

I was just wondering if one needs to always merge the IR and PR files to derive the estimates of any indicator from the 2014 Kenya DHS? Also, If I understood this explanation correctly, I can disregard the Kenya 2014 DHS report of 14,625 total sample size.

Many thanks
