
Subject: Re: Combining mother's info on child row in PR file

Posted by [lillo?S](#) on Thu, 08 Dec 2016 15:54:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've just realised that I was not working on the full data because I had excluded observations for which the age was not available or missing before running the code to paste the mother's age. I have re-run the code using the full data and I get 49 cases for which the age difference is ≤ 12 and ≥ 60 (and not 37) and I get 39 cases for which the age difference is ≤ 11 and ≥ 57 (which I guess were the lower and upper limit that you applied to verify the number of 'strange' cases, the two additional cases are hhid: 145 15 4 and 182 60 1). Can you confirm these numbers?

I have two further questions. I would consider as 'strange cases' those cases for which the age difference is < 10 and > 60 and remove them from the data. In other words, I would be using only observations for which the age difference between the mother and the child is between 10 and 60 ($10 \leq \text{age difference} \leq 60$). Does this make sense or should I consider a different lower or upper limit?

If I had to do the same for the father, which would the upper and lower limit for the age difference between the father and the child be?

Thanks a lot.
