

---

Subject: Re: The use of the term "De'jure" in 2011 Ethiopian DHS  
Posted by [Bridgette-DHS](#) on Mon, 27 Jun 2016 14:34:30 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Following is another response from Senior DHS Stata Specialist, Tom Pullum:

I just looked at the women's file for the Ethiopia 2011 survey (ETIR61). You referred to v119 and v125, but it seems that the relevant variables are v120 (household has radio) and v121 (household has television). The labels are as follows:

v120:

0 no  
1 yes  
7 not a dejure resident

v121:

0 no  
1 yes  
7 not a dejure resident

Category 7 is "not a de jure resident". Note the "not" in the label. The identification of those cases means that all other cases ARE de jure. The variable in the IR file that gets at this status is v140. I suspect that this category is included in order to match with a MICS variable, but there may be some other reason.

The tabulation of these two variables (unweighted) is given below:

```
. tab v120 v121,m
```

	household has: television					
household has: radio	no	yes	not a dej	9	Total	
no	7,776	714	0	1	8,491	
yes	4,637	2,873	0	1	7,511	
not a dejure resident	0	0	505	0	505	
9	0	0	0	8	8	
Total	12,413	3,587	505	10	16,515	

A few cases are coded 9. I would code them "." in a composite variable, just so they would be omitted from tabulations or regression.

If you want to construct a composite variable that includes radio and tv, I would not combine "radio or tv" into a single category, because the great majority of those cases have a radio and NOT a tv. I would distinguish between the category with 4637 cases and the category with 714 cases. If you do not know the steps to construct the composite variable, you can post another message to the forum.

---